City of Cheyenne Board of Public Utilities

Volume 6 – Non-Potable Water Treatment and Distribution

2013 Cheyenne Water and Wastewater Master Plans

Final

November 27, 2013

Prepared for:

City of Cheyenne

Board of Public Utilities

2416 Snyder Ave.

Cheyenne, WY 82001

Prepared by:

HDR Engineering

1670 Broadway Suite 3400 Denver, CO 80202

HDR

AVI Professional Corporation

1103 Old Town Lane Suite 101 Cheyenne, WY 82009



ONE COMPANY | Many Solutions*



Table of Contents

Lis	t of Ta	bles6-ii
Lis	t of Fig	gures
Lis	t of Ap	opendices6-iv
Ab	brevia	tions and Acronyms6-v
6.1	Int	troduction
6.2	Ba	ackground6-3
	6.2.1	Wastewater Classifications 6-3
	6.2.2	WDEQ Reuse Regulations6-3
	6.2.3	Current Class B System Expansion Plans
	6.2.4	Current Class A System Expansion Plans 6-4
6.3	Ra	aw Water Irrigation System 6-6
	6.3.1	Existing Raw Water Irrigation Distribution System
	6.3.2	Existing Raw Water Irrigation Customers
	6.3.3	Existing Raw Water Irrigation Demands6-7
	6.3.4	Demand Projects
6.4	Cla	ass B Water System 6-11
	6.4.1	Existing Class B Distribution System 6-11
	6.4.2	Existing Class B System Demand 6-11
	6.4.3	Prairie Generating Station
	6.4.4	Demand Projections 6-13
	6.4.5	Oversized DCWRF Pumping Station 6-13
6.5	Cla	ass A Water System 6-15
	6.5.1	Existing Class A Distribution System 6-15
	6.5.2	Existing Class A Customers 6-15
	6.5.3	Existing Class A Demand 6-20
	6.5.4	Crow Creek Recycle Water Facility 6-21





6.5.5	Class A Storage and Pump Stations		
6.5.6	Future Class A Customers		
6.5.7	Class A Water Demand Projections		
6.5.8	Future Projects		
6.6 Re	commendations 6-40		
6.6.1	Planning Projects		
6.6.2	Infrastructure Projects		
6.6.3	Customer Connections		
6.6.4	Pump Stations and Storage Improvements6-46		
6.6.5	Treatment Improvements		
6.7 Ca	pital Improvement Plan6-51		
6.7.1	Cost Estimating Assumptions		
6.7.2	Capital Improvement Plans by Planning Period 6-52		
Appendic	Appendices		

List of Tables

Table 6-1 Raw Water Customers	7
Table 6-2 Historic Raw Water Irrigation Use	8
Table 6-3 Historic DCWRF Class B Use	.11
Table 6-4 Cheyenne Prairie Generating Station Water Demands	.13
Table 6-5 Average Day Class B Demand Projections	.14
Table 6-6: Class A Customers Summary	.16
Table 6-7 Historic Class A Use	.21
Table 6-8 Potential Class A Customers	.26
Table 6-9 Potential New Class A Customers by Planning Horizon	.28
Table 6-10 Class A Water Supply Availability Projections	.31
Table 6-11 Projected Class A Demands with Potential Customers	.33





Table 6-12 Projected Maximum Class A Water Available vs. Demands	.34
Table 6-13 Reuse Pumpback Line Alternatives	.35
Table 6-14 Reuse Pumpback Line Estimated Costs	.36
Table 6-15 Class A Storage Requirements	.38
Table 6-16 Pipe Material and Unit Cost Assumptions	.51
Table 6-17 Near-term (2014-2023) Recommended Capital Improvement Plan	.53
Table 6-18 Mid-term (2024-2033) Recommended Capital Improvement Plan	.54
Table 6-19 Long-term (2034-2063) Recommended Capital Improvement Plan	.55

List of Figures

Figure 6-1 Non-potable Water System Schematic	ծ-5
Figure 6-2 Average Monthly Distribution of Raw Water Irrigation Demands	3-7
Figure 6-3 Historic Raw Water Irrigation Use 6	3-9
Figure 6-4 Existing Raw Water Irrigation System 6-7	10
Figure 6-5 Class B Average Day Demand by Month 6-7	12
Figure 6-6 Average Day Class B Demand Projections	14
Figure 6-7 Metered Class A Customers 6-7	17
Figure 6-8 Existing Class A System 6-7	19
Figure 6-9 Average Monthly Distribution of Class A Demands	20
Figure 6-10 Class A Water System 6-2	24
Figure 6-11 Potential Class A Customers	29
Figure 6-12 Potential Class A Customers Phasing	30
Figure 6-13 Available Class A Water vs. Demands 6-3	34
Figure 6-14 Easement Exhibit 6-3	37
Figure 6-15 Recommended Class A System Improvements 6-4	50
Figure 6-16 Non-Potable Water Distribution Capital Improvement Projects	56





List of Appendices

Appendix 6-A Cheyenne Prairie Generation Facility Correspondence	6-A
Appendix 6-B Non-Potable System Flow Balance	6-B
Appendix 6-C Existing Facility Information	6-C
Appendix 6-D Cost Estimates	6-D





Abbreviations and Acronyms

Abbreviations and Acronyms

ac	Acre
ac-ft	Acre-feet
ADD	Average Day Demand
BOPU	Board of Public Utilities
City	City of Cheyenne
CCWRF	Crow Creek Water Reclamation Facility
CFU	Colony Forming Units
DCWRF	Dry Creek Water Reclamation Facility
EHS	East High School
ft	Feet
gal	Gallon
gpm	Gallons per Minute
LCCC	Laramie County Community College
LOOD	Laramie County School District
MG	Laramie County School District Million Gallons
MG MG/yr	Laramie County School District Million Gallons Million Gallons per Year
MG MG/yr mgd	Laramie County School District Million Gallons Million Gallons per Year Million Gallons per Day
MG MG/yr mgd mL	Laramie County School District Million Gallons Million Gallons per Year Million Gallons per Day Milliliter
MG MG/yr mgd mL O&M	Laramie County School District Million Gallons Million Gallons per Year Million Gallons per Day Milliliter Operations and Maintenance
MG MG/yr mgd mL O&M PACL	Laramie County School District Million Gallons Million Gallons per Year Million Gallons per Day Milliliter Operations and Maintenance Polyaluminum chloride





Abbreviations and Acronyms

PHD	Peak Hourly Demand
PVGC	Prairie View Golf Course
SCWSD	South Cheyenne Water & Sewer District
Volume 2	Volume 2 – Future Capacity Requirements
WAFB	Warren Air Force Base
WDEQ	Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality
WRF	Water Reclamation Facility
WTP	Water Treatment Plant
yr	Year





6.1 Introduction

6.1 Introduction

The City of Cheyenne (City) Board of Public Utilities (BOPU) has three non-potable water systems that help to maximize the efficient use of the City's water supplies: the raw water irrigation system, the Class B water system and the Class A water system. The primary use for the raw water irrigation and Class A systems is irrigation water while the Class B system is used for construction water and service water at the BOPU's wastewater treatment plants. The purpose of this master plan volume is to document the state of the three existing systems and to provide a strategy for improving and expanding each system to serve more customers in the future. For budgeting and planning purposes, improvements are grouped sequentially into the near-term (2014-2023), mid-term (2023-2033) and long-term (2033-2063) planning horizons. The BOPU has an overall goal to optimize the use of its non-potable water systems in order to help reduce demands on the raw and potable water supplies.

The following topics are addressed in this volume for each of the three non-potable water systems:

- Documentation of the existing users
- A review and analysis of the existing system demands
- Identification of future potential customers
- The future system demands
- A review of BOPU's current non-potable capital improvement project (CIP) list
- Identification of future infrastructure projects including budgetary cost estimates
- Recommendations are provided for future engineering evaluations that would enhance future master planning efforts for these systems

The non-potable water systems are utilized for irrigation and bulk construction water sales. Two of these systems, the raw water system and the Class A system, provide irrigation water to many of the City of Cheyenne's parks. The Class B water system is located at the DCWRF and is only used for bulk water sales for construction use and plant use.





6.1 Introduction

(THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)





6.2 Background

6.2 Background

6.2.1 Wastewater Classifications

The Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality (WDEQ) has defined the following three classes of wastewater:

- "Class A wastewater is treated wastewater which has received advanced treatment and/or secondary treatment and a level of disinfection so that the maximum number of fecal coliform organisms is 2.2 colony forming units (CFU)/100 mL or less."
- "Class B wastewater is treated wastewater which has received the equivalent of secondary treatment and a level of disinfection so that the maximum fecal coliform level is greater than 2.2 CFU/100 mL but less than 200 CFU/100 mL."
- "Class C wastewater is treated wastewater which has received the equivalent of primary treatment and a level of disinfection so that the maximum fecal coliform level is 200 CFU /100 mL or greater but less than 1000 CFU/100 mL."

The City has non-potable water systems for both Class A treated wastewater and Class B treated wastewater. The BOPU operates two wastewater treatment plants, the Dry Creek Water Reclamation Facility (DCWRF) and the Crow Creek Water Reclamation Facility (CCWRF).

- The DCWRF produces Class B reuse wastewater, which will be referred to as "Class B" throughout this Volume.
- The CCWRF includes a recycled water treatment system with the capability to produce Class A recycle wastewater, which will be referred to throughout this volume as "Class A."

The Class A and Class B systems are interrelated as shown in Figure 6-1. This figure also shows the future option of a reuse line from the DCWRF to the Crow Creek Recycle Water Facility detailed in Section 6.5.8.

6.2.2 WDEQ Reuse Regulations

Chapter 12 of the WDEQ Water Quality Rules and Regulations outlines the standards for the reuse of treated wastewater. Class B wastewater is authorized for irrigation of land with a low or moderate potential for public exposure, as well as irrigation of direct and indirect human consumption food crops. If Class B wastewater is used for reuse on land with a moderate or low potential for public exposure, fencing and signing must be provided.

Class A water is authorized for irrigation of land with a high potential for public exposure in addition to applications permitted for Class B. Treated wastewater must be used for beneficial reuse and cannot exceed the irrigation volumes required at a site. Neither Class A nor Class B





6.2 Background

water can be applied to agricultural land, forest, or a public contact site. Furthermore, it cannot contaminate a groundwater aquifer or leave the application site as runoff with the potential to enter the State's surface waters. All treated wastewater irrigation systems must be isolated with a 30 foot buffer zone between the reuse site and adjacent property lines.

Storage of Class A water in a pond facility requires a liner if denitrification is not included in the treatment process. However, the CCWRF does include denitrification, so liners will not be required to meet WDEQ regulatory requirements for Class A storage within the BOPU's system. That being said, unlined ponds can lead to significant loss of the stored water due to seepage, so thin liners are recommended to reduce water loss on any new storage pond projects.

6.2.3 Current Class B System Expansion Plans

The BOPU's current plans to expand the Class B system are summarized below.

- The addition of a pump station to provide Class B water to the neighboring power generation facility.
- Facility upgrades to DCWRF, and additional pumps included in the Class B pump station to provide a more robust Class B dispensing systems for the sale of non-potable water to Contractors.

6.2.4 Current Class A System Expansion Plans

The BOPU's current plans to expand the Class A system are summarized below.

- The recycled water distribution system is planned to be expanded in 2014 to Holliday Park to deliver up to 0.23 mgd (0.71 ac-ft/day) of Class A water. The plan is to connect to the existing recycled water system near Airport Parkway and 3rd Avenue and extend a pipeline to Holliday Park down Morrie Avenue. The estimated annual demand is 0.08 mgd (70 ac-ft/day) for 29 acres (ac) of irrigated turf.
- The recycled water distribution system is planned to be expanded in 2017 to Mylar and Smalley Park to deliver up to 0.3 mgd (0.92 ac-ft/day) of Class A water.
- The recycled water distribution system is planned to be expanded in 2018 to Central High School and McCormick Junior High to deliver up to 0.3 mgd (0.92 ac-ft/day) of Class A water.













6.3 Raw Water Irrigation System

6.3 Raw Water Irrigation System

For the purposes of this volume, the term, "raw water", will refer to the raw water used for irrigation of several properties largely owned by the City of Cheyenne and the replenishment of recreational lakes. It does not include raw water used for potable treatment or other small miscellaneous uses. The existing raw water irrigation system has a limited number of customers.

6.3.1 Existing Raw Water Irrigation Distribution System

The raw water irrigation system and its customers are located on the northwest side of BOPU's service area. The supply for the raw water irrigation system is dominated by surface water sources. These sources include the North and South Crow Reservoirs and the Crystal Reservoir brought in through the Crystal Diversion Structure. The raw water system also has a pipeline from the Ware Infiltration Gallery that supplies limited amounts of raw water in wet years and a Riser well that provides a very limited supply of raw water when necessary. The lakes supplied by this system include: Pearson, Absarraca, Kiwanis, and Sloans. Customers pump raw water from these lakes to irrigate their land. A significant portion of the raw water stored in the lakes is lost to seepage and evaporation. These losses, plus unmetered use, result in a significant amount of unaccounted-for water. Volume 2 – Future Capacity Requirements estimates the amount of unaccounted-for water in the raw water irrigation system at 45%. Further details can be found in Volume 2. The existing raw water irrigation system is shown in Figure 6-3.

6.3.2 Existing Raw Water Irrigation Customers

Table 6-1 lists the four current large raw water customers and their irrigated acres. There are several other small customers who supplement their potable water use with minimal amounts of raw water, but their overall use is negligible. To enhance the BOPU's raw water supply accounting system, installation of a water meter is recommended on the pipeline from the Ware Infiltration Gallery.





6.3 Raw Water Irrigation System

Table 6-1 Raw Water Customers

Description	Irrigated Area (Acres)
Airport Golf Course	85.6
Cheyenne Country Club	112
Warren Air Force Base (WAFB) Golf Course	86
Lions Park	49.6
Total	333

6.3.3 Existing Raw Water Irrigation Demands

Figure 6-2 shows the average monthly raw water irrigation demand between 1999 and 2012 based on data from the last ten years. As expected, the majority of the usage occurs between April and October with July being the peak month followed by June and August.



Figure 6-2 Average Monthly Distribution of Raw Water Irrigation Demands





6.3 Raw Water Irrigation System

Maximum day demands for the system averaged between 2.0 and 2.5 acre-feet (ac-ft) per day between 1999 and 2012. The years of highest demand include 2000, 2002, and 2012 due to drought conditions. The usage for 2012 was also impacted by the additional demand associated with the Cheyenne Country Club, which remained on the raw water system instead of switching over to the potable water system as they have in the past. The annual raw water irrigation use from 1999 through 2012 is listed in Table 6-2 and shown graphically in Figure 6-3.

Year	Use (ac-ft/yr)	Use (MG/yr)	Use (ac-ft/ac/yr)	Use (MG/ac/yr)
1999	536	175	1.29	0.42
2000	738	241	1.77	0.58
2001	578	188	1.39	045
2002	637	208	1.53	0.50
2003	332	108	0.80	0.26
2004	404	132	0.97	0.32
2005	299	98	0.72	0.23
2006	448	146	1.08	0.35
2007	378	123	0.91	0.30
2008	351	114	0.84	0.28
2009	334	109	0.80	0.26
2010	387	126	0.93	0.30
2011	300	98	0.72	0.24
2012	818	267	1.97	0.64
Average	467	152	1.12	0.37

Table 6-2 Historic Raw Water Irrigation Use





Figure 6-3 Historic Raw Water Irrigation Use

A map showing the existing raw water irrigation pipelines and large customers is presented in Figure 6-4.

6.3.4 Demand Projects

As discussed in Volume 2, the raw water irrigation demand is estimated to increase at a rate of 1% a year. This is due to an increase in unaccounted-for-water and other losses. At this projected growth rate the raw water system will be able to meet the demands of the existing customers. This rate should be revaluated every few years, taking into account less than average snowpack and other factors that could reduce the availability of raw water for irrigation.





Final Volume 6 – Non-Potable Water Treatment and Distribution





6.4 Class B Water System

Effluent produced by both the CCWRF and the DCWRF is derived from three sources: in-basin surface water, imported surface water, and groundwater. Only the imported surface water may be used to extinction and is therefore available for Class A or B use. BOPU's SCADA data indicates that approximately 50% of the effluent is currently derived from imported surface water supplies. Consequently, the remaining 50% of the effluent from the DCWRF must be discharged into Crow Creek.

6.4.1 Existing Class B Distribution System

The only uses for Class B treated wastewater currently include construction water use and plant service water at the DCWRF. As such, the Class B system is the only non-potable water system that does not have a distribution system. Customers use a hydrant located at the DCWRF to obtain Class B water for construction use, so they are responsible for the transportation of the construction water.

6.4.2 Existing Class B System Demand

Historically, little fluctuation in Class B usage has occurred over the past five years and throughout the course of each year. Table 6-3 summarizes the annual average day demand for 2007 to 2012. Figure 6-5 shows the average day demand by month for 2007 to 2012. During this time, the average day use was 0.46 ac-ft or 0.15 mgd as calculated in Table 6-3.

Year	Average Day Use (ac-ft)	Average Day Use (mgd)
2007	0.43	0.14
2008	0.46	0.15
2009	0.40	0.13
2010	0.49	0.16
2011	0.46	0.15
2012	0.58	0.19
Average	0.46	0.15

Table 6-3 Historic DCWRF Class B Use

Figure 6-5 Class B Average Day Demand by Month

6.4.3 Prairie Generating Station

The new Cheyenne Prairie Generating Station is a natural gas-fired power plant that is scheduled to begin operation on October 1, 2014. The facility has requested specific amounts of Class B water for use by the station. The projected demand once the facility goes online and the ultimate water demand are documented in a letter from the plant manager to the BOPU dated April 10th, 2013. A copy of the letter is provided in Appendix 6-A. The timing of the ultimate water demand is unknown as it is largely dependent on future growth rates within the City. The station will contribute effluent back to the DCWRF, and these additional flows have been accounted for in wastewater projections provided in Volume 2. Table 6-4 summarizes the projected demands.

Type of Usage	Peak Flow (gallons/minute)	Max Daily (gallons/day)	Average Daily (gallons/day)
Initial Class B Requirements	622	650,000	65,000
Ultimate Class B Requirements	1,200	2,000,000	900,000

 Table 6-4

 Cheyenne Prairie Generating Station Water Demands

Apart from the Cheyenne Prairie Generating Station, no other individual customers have been identified as potential customers of the Class B system. The remaining balance of the DCWRF could be put to beneficial use if it can be pumped to the Crow Creek Recycle Water Facility and treated for Class A use. This option is discussed in further detail in Section 6.5.8.

6.4.4 Demand Projections

The primary increase in Class B water demand will come from the Cheyenne Prairie Generating Station. Historically, Class B demand has increased at roughly 1% per year and therefore a conservative annual demand increase of 1.5% is used as recommended in Volume 2. The average day Class B demand projection is shown in Table 6-5 and Figure 6-6 through 2063, using 0.19 mgd as the baseline existing demand from Volume 2. The initial Cheyenne Prairie Generating Station demand is factored into the near-term planning period, and the ultimate demand is assumed to occur in the 2053 and 2063 long-term planning periods.

6.4.5 Oversized DCWRF Pumping Station

Construction on a new Class B dispensing station is planned to begin in late 2013 or early 2014. The project not only includes the dispensing station, but also the necessary upgrades at the plant These upgrades include the installation of larger pumps for faster filling of the construction trucks, upgrades to the existing piping to handle the increase in flows, and driveway improvements for better access to the new dispensing station. The project is currently bundled with the construction of a new pump station that will provide Class B water to the Prairie Generation Facility. The BOPU's portion of the project budget is currently limited to the Class B improvements associated with the filling station as previously described, which equates to a budget of \$700,000 in 2013 dollars.

Year	Planning Period	Planning Demand Period (ac-ft/day)		
2013	Existing	0.66	0.18	
2023	Near-Term	1.03	0.28	
2033	Mid-Term	1.14	0.31	
2043		4.31	1.17	
2053	Long-Term	4.46	1.21	
2063		4.64	1.26	

Table 6-5 **Average Day Class B Demand Projections**

Figure 6-6 **Average Day Class B Demand Projections**

6.5 Class A Water System

Class A water is an excellent source for non-potable applications because it is not directly impacted by droughts or snowpack accumulations. Class A water is treated to the highest reuse water standards, so it can be used to reduce the demands on raw water and potable water used for irrigation. In order to take advantage of this water source, BOPU built a Class A treatment facility located at the CCWRF site, referred to in this volume as the Crow Creek Recycle Water Facility.

6.5.1 Existing Class A Distribution System

The CCWRF was upgraded between 2004 and 2006 so it can distribute Class A water. The facility currently has an average day capacity of 4 mgd and a peak hour capacity of 5 mgd. Recycled water distribution began in 2007. Two phases of the recycled water distribution system have been completed totaling 14 miles of distribution pipelines.

- Phase 1 was completed in 2007 and supplies 2.2 mgd to 14 locations. This phase of construction also includes the completion of the High Service pump station at CCWRT and a storage pond at the Prairie View Golf Course.
- Phase 2 was completed in 2009 and supplies 0.6 mgd to 5 locations. This phase of construction also included the relining of the Prairie View Golf Course pond and the relocation of the Sun Valley Pump Station.

As of 2012, approximately 277 acres of existing land was irrigated with recycled water.

6.5.2 Existing Class A Customers

The Class A system is utilized to irrigate parks, cemeteries, golf courses, and schools. Table 6-6 lists the metered customers throughout the city with the acreage they irrigate and their average annual Class A use between 2008 and 2012 (excluding 2009, as it was only a partial year of delivery). Figure 6-8 shows the existing Class A system and customers.

Location	Irrigated Area (acres)	Average Use (MG/yr)	Average Use (ac- ft/yr)	Average use (MG/ac/yr)	Average use (ac- ft/ac/yr)
North Community Park Soccer Fields	51.06	21.27	65.28	0.42	1.28
Prairie View Golf Course	42.85	25.87	79.39	0.60	1.85
City of Cheyenne Cemeteries	33.88	18.32	56.22	0.54	1.66
Cahill Park	25.56	19.75	60.61	0.77	2.37
Junior League Baseball Fields	19.74	6.7	20.56	0.34	1.04
VA Hospital	17.4	3.85	11.82	0.22	0.68
Brimmer Park	14.96	10.63	32.62	0.71	2.18
Miscellaneous	11.39	9.7	29.77	0.85	2.61
Dutcher Baseball Fields	10.01	7.36	22.59	0.74	2.26
Cheyenne Regional Airport	9.22	5.34	16.39	0.58	1.78
Converse Softball Fields	8.36	6	18.41	0.72	2.20
Okie Blanchard Stadium	7.68	3.53	10.83	0.46	1.41
Sunrise Elementary	6.05	4.58	14.06	0.76	2.32
Sunvalley Park	5.79	3.55	10.89	0.61	1.88
Miller Field	4.16	3.28	10.07	0.79	2.42
Powers Field	3.25	2.75	8.44	0.85	2.60
Jewish Cemetery	2.99	2.45	7.52	0.82	2.51
United Nations Park	2.48	1.96	6.02	0.79	2.43
Bain Elementary	0.55	0.42	1.29	0.76	2.34
Total	277				

Table 6-6:Class A Customers Summary

Of the metered customers, the top five customers account for over half of the Class A consumption, as shown in Figure 6-7. Plans to expand the Class A system are currently in place, which would allow for additional customers to receive Class A service (refer to Section 6.2.3).

Figure 6-7 Metered Class A Customers

(THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

Final Volume 6 – Non-Potable Water Treatment and Distribution

6.5 Class A Water System

6.5.3 Existing Class A Demand

The current Class A system is under the highest demand in the summer months, with its peak demand in July. Currently, there is no Class A water usage from December through February. Figure 6-9 shows the variation in Class A water use throughout the year based on data from 2007 through 2012. The Class A annual use totals from 2007 to 2012 are summarized in Table 6-7.

Figure 6-9 Average Monthly Distribution of Class A Demands

Year	Annual Use (ac-ft/yr)	Annual Use (MG/yr)	Annual Use (ac- ft/ac/yr) ⁽¹⁾	Annual Use (MG/ac/yr)
2007(2)	223	73	1.42	0.46
2008	461	150	2.94	0.96
2009 ⁽²⁾	102	33	0.37	0.12
2010	587	191	2.12	0.69
2011	428	139	1.55	0.51
2012	657	214	2.37	0.77
Average	533	174	2.24	0.73

Table 6-7 Historic Class A Use

⁽¹⁾ Includes 157 acres of turf from 2007-2008, and 277 acres of turf from 2009-2012. ⁽²⁾ Partial year of delivery. Not included in Averages.

6.5.4 Crow Creek Recycle Water Facility

Historically, the demand for Class A water has been sporadic, since the existing infrastructure is relatively new. The Crow Creek Recycle Water Facility is currently capable of treating 4 mgd, but was designed to be expanded to treat 10 mgd. Only an average of 23% of the total wastewater effluent available from the CCWRF is currently treated to Class A standards. The Crow Creek Recycle Water Facility treats the secondary effluent through filtration, with the introduction of coagulation aids upstream as shown in Figure 6-10. The facility is hydraulically connected to the CCWRF's UV disinfection effluent box through a 24-inch pipeline. Following the UV, effluent is sent to a wet well with 3 transfer pumps. The transfer pumps typically operate with two online and one in standby mode. All 3 transfer pumps are equipped with VFD's and are capable of feeding the Crow Creek Recycle Water Facility includes a rapid mix chamber followed by two sets of 6 continuous contact upflow Dynasand filters manufactured by Parkson.

To ensure proper coagulation and filtration, the two chemical systems installed at the facility feed polymer and alum. Since the construction of the facility, the alum feed system has been repurposed to feed polyaluminum chloride (PACL), which was found to be a better filter aid. The equipment included in the two chemical feed systems are sized to meet the future 10 mgd demand.

Filtered water flows by gravity to one of two 1.28 MG Class A treated storage tanks (former trickling filters for the CCWRF). At this time, the second tank is in need of repair, so the system is limited to half the storage capacity. Under normal conditions 2.5 MG of storage is available for Class A at the Crow Creek Recycle Water Facility.

The Crow Creek Recycle Facility is typically operated in hand mode during the day by the CCWRF operators. The flow is set by comparing the estimated availability of effluent with the level in the Class A storage tanks and the Prairie View Golf Course Pond. The control system is also equipped with level instruments in the UV channel, Class A Storage tanks and the Prairie View Pond, that turn the system pumps on at high levels and off at low levels, reducing the risk of both overflowing the tanks and pump cavitation.

(THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

Final Volume 6 – Non-Potable Water Treatment and Distribution

PRAIRIE VIEW PUMPS TO EHS (2000 GPM) TO PVGC & BACKUP (2000 GPM) TO V.A., CEMETERIES & AIRPORT PARKWAY (2000 GPM) PARKS & REC (3450 GPM) DATE SEPT 2013 GURE 6-10

6.5 Class A Water System



6.5.5 Class A Storage and Pump Stations

Class A water is pumped to either the storage tanks at the Crow Creek Recycle Water Facility or to the Prairie View Golf Course Pond by the high service pump station, which includes three pumps. The pumps are equipped with variable frequency drives (VFDs) for a total pumping capacity of 6.7 mgd at 55 psi of pressure. However, these pumps have additional available space for a future pump as well as an intermediate pump. The Prairie View Golf Course Pond is lined and has a 3.1 MG storage capacity (including the wet well). The pond serves as the source of supply for the golf course and for the Prairie View Golf Course Pump Station. This pump station is equipped with four skids, each containing three pumps, which transport Class A water to the rest of the BOPU Class A customers.

The Class A system has a total storage volume of 5.66 MG between the treated storage tanks at the Crow Creek Recycle Water Facility and the Prairie View Golf Course Pond. This storage is used to meet the peak hour demands of the Class A system. The storage and pumping facilities described above are shown on the Class A system schematic in Figure 6-10. The Prairie View Golf Pump Station was constructed to provide continuous pressure to the Class A system, so the pumps are activated anytime the system pressure drops due to high system demands.

There are also two booster pump stations in the Class A system that are currently used to serve customers on the furthest north and east ends of the system. The Sun Valley Booster Pump Station services customers on 12th Street, which can feed the customers 800 gpm at a boosted pressure up to 100 psi. The other booster pump station at North Cheyenne Park is capable of pumping 1,550 gpm at 126 feet.

6.5.6 Future Class A Customers

Representatives from the BOPU, HDR and AVI met on July 25th, 2013 and identified potential future Class A customers along with their approximate irrigated acreages. The potential Class A customers include future developments, current potable water customers, and current raw water customers. Figure 6-11 shows the locations of the potential Class A customers and their locations relative to the existing Class A system. Table 6-8 lists the potential customers with their approximate irrigated acres.





Table 6-8Potential Class A Customers

Land Owner	Description	Estimated Irrigated Area (acres)
Cheyenne LEADS	LEADS East Business Parkway Open Space	129
Lummis Livestock Company, LLC	Sweetgrass Land Development	125
Cheyenne Country Club	Currently on the raw water system	112
Kenneth Hess & DSK Ranch LLC	Future Park near Sunrise Elementary	100
WAFB Golf Course	Currently on the raw water system	86
Airport Golf Course	Currently on the raw water system	85.6
City of Cheyenne	South Cheyenne Community Park	80
Lions Park	Currently on the raw water system	49.6
Frank Cole	Future Park off of Storey Drive	45
City of Cheyenne	Holliday Park	29.1
Laramie County Community College (LCCC) Foundation	LCCC Campus	25
Read Company	Future Park off of S. College Drive	18
B and L Land Co.	JL Ranch Open Space	14
WJE LLC	Future subdivision east of Saddle Ridge	13
Central HS & McCormick JHS	Schools in the northwest	12
Ed Murray	Open Space behind South High	10
City of Cheyenne	Mylar and Smalley Parks	8.7
City of Cheyenne	Saddle Ridge Park	7.66
City of Cheyenne	Martin Luther King Junior Park	6.2
	East Business Parkway- Landscaped Median	5
Laramie County School District (LCSD) #1	South High School	5
LCSD #1	Johnson Junior High School	4
City of Cheyenne	Lincoln Park	2
LCSD #1	Anderson Elementary	1.5
LCSD #1	Triumph High School	1
LCSD #1	Rossman Elementary	1
LCSD #1	Saddle Ridge Elementary	1
LCSD #1	Goins Elementary	0.5
	Total Irrigated Area	977





The potential future Class A customers were grouped so new customers could be brought on line gradually to avoid large treatment, storage and pumping infrastructure improvements in the near-term planning horizon. The potential Class A customers were then further grouped into suggested expansion timeframes for the near-term, mid-term, and long-term planning horizons. Figure 6-12 shows the potential customers and Class A system expansion by year and Table 6-9 lists the customers and area added by planning horizons.





Table 6-9
Potential New Class A Customers by Planning Horizon

Land Owner	Description	Estimated Irrigated Area (acres)				
	Near-term (2023)					
City of Cheyenne	Holliday Park	29.1				
Central HS & McCormick JHS	Schools in the northwest	12				
City of Cheyenne	Mylar and Smalley Parks	8.7				
LCSD #1	Anderson Elementary	1.5				
	Near-term (2023) Area Subtotal	51				
	Mid-term (2033)					
Lummis Livestock Company, LLC	Sweetgrass Land Development	125				
Frank Cole	Future Park off of Storey Drive	45				
LCCC Foundation	LCCC Campus	25				
Read Company	Future Park off of S College Drive	18				
	Mid-term (2033) Area Subtotal	213				
	Long-term (2063)					
Cheyenne LEADS	LEADS East Business Parkway Open Space	129				
Cheyenne Country Club	Currently on the raw water system	112				
Kenneth Hess & DSK Ranch LLC	Future Park near Sunrise Elementary	100				
WAFB Golf Course	Currently on the raw water system	86				
Airport Golf Course	Currently on the raw water system	85.6				
Lions Park	Currently on the raw water system	49.6				
B and L Land Co.	JL Ranch Open Space	14				
WJE LLC	Future subdivision east of Saddle Ridge	13				
Ed Murray	Open Space behind South High	10				
City of Cheyenne	Saddle Ridge Park	7.66				
	East Business Parkway- Landscaped Median	5				
LCSD #1	South High School	5				
LCSD #1	Johnson Junior High School	4				
LCSD #1	Saddle Ridge Elementary	1				
LCSD #1	Triumph High School	1				
LCSD #1	Rossman Elementary	1				
LCSD #1	Goins Elementary	0.5				
	Long-term (2063) Area Subtota	l 624				







Final Volume 6 – Non-Potable Water Treatment and Distribution







Final Volume 6 – Non-Potable Water Treatment and Distribution





6.5.7 Class A Water Demand Projections

Unlike the raw water irrigation system, the Class A water system expansion is limited to the effluent available from the CCWRF and the DCWRF. Future irrigation demands are seasonal and most of the Class A water will continue to be used by the City to irrigate public grounds. This gives the BOPU the ability to regulate the timing frequency at which the customers irrigate. With the potential to more than double the amount of customers on the Class A system, the BOPU can also control growth by carefully planning which customers are added to the system each year. These aspects of the Class A system allow the BOPU to manage usage demands in a way that is not possible for the potable water and wastewater projections. In addition, peak hour demands on the Crow Creek Recycle Water Facility can be dampened by planning for adequate storage around the system. It is important to take into account all of these factors when projecting future growth of the Class A system.

Projection Assumptions

In order to determine projections for future Class A system projects and demand, the following assumptions have been made:

 The CCWRF and the DCWRF projected influent flows through 2063 were used from Volume 2 and are summarized below in Table 6-10. The average day supply availability was used for both the CCWRF and the DCWRF. Influent flows to the DCWRF include the sludge and flushing water from the CCWRF.

			CCWRF			DCWRF ⁽¹⁾		
Year	Planning Period	Average Day (mgd)	Maximum Month (mgd)	Maximum Day (mgd)	Average Day (mgd)	Maximum Month (mgd)	Maximum Day (mgd)	
2013	Existing	3.7	4.5	5.3	5.4	6.7	9.9	
2023	Near-Term	4.5	5.5	6.5	6.3	7.9	11.7	
2033	Mid-Term	5.1	6.6	7.8	7.5	9.4	13.9	
2043		5.8	7.5	8.2	8.7	10.8	16.2	
2053	Long-Term	6.4	8.2	8.2	9.9	12.4	20.1	
2063		6.8	8.2	8.2	10.8	14.3	22.7	

Table 6-10 Class A Water Supply Availability Projections

⁽¹⁾ Additional flow has been subtracted from the DCWRF supply to account for Class B demands at the Cheyenne Prairie Generating Station.





- 2) An irrigation season was assumed to take place from April through November based on historic Class A metered usage for an equivalent of 250 days.
- 3) A value of 34.12 inches per square foot (in/sf) was used to estimate the future average day demands. This is a conservative estimate over 30% higher than Cheyenne's Evapotranspiration (ET) data; however, it factors in unaccounted-for water. This value is explained further in Volume 2.
- 4) With the potential for greater control over growth and irrigation application, a peaking factor of 5.0 was used for the Class A system to more accurately predict the future improvements required for the system. This peaking factor represents the 50% level of exceedence from Volume 2, as opposed to the 10% level of exceedence that is typically used for potable water. Water conservation efforts for the Class A system should be enacted if the peak hour peaking factor goes much higher than 5.0 since there is a much greater ability to schedule when irrigation occurs with the Class A system than the potable water system.
- 5) In the state of Wyoming, non-native water (i.e. water that has originated from outside the basin) can be used to extinction. Therefore, the portion of the BOPU's water supply derived from non-native water is available for use in the Class A water system. Volume 2 evaluated the allocation of source contributions to the Sherard WTP using the SWSS model. The percentage of non-native water was projected to increase from 68% in the near-term to 73% in the mid-term and long-term. These same percentages were applied in this analysis to determine the amount of effluent from the CCWRF and the DCWRF available for Class A use.
- 6) The CCWRF, the DCWRF and the Crow Creek Recycle Water Facility efficiencies for percent of influent available as effluent were developed in Volume 2. The CCWRF was calculated using 84%, the DCWRF using 90%, and the Crow Creek Recycle Water Facility as 82%.
- 7) All existing raw water customers will be converted to the Class A system by the longterm planning horizon and no new customers will be added to the raw water system.

Non-Potable Water Flow Balance

A flow balance spreadsheet (see Appendix 6-B) was developed for the non-potable systems to approximate the Class A and Class B water available over the next 50 years. The existing values were calculated using the average CCWRF and DCWRF influent values for the month of July in 2007 through 2012. The assumptions listed above were applied to determine the amount of effluent available from each facility. Maximum Class A values include the storage in the Class A system and factor in the effluent that can be treated if the reuse line from the



DCWRF to the CCWRF is constructed between 2023 and 2033, in the mid-term planning horizon.

Class A Projections

Using the potential Class A customer breakdown in Table 6-9, demands were estimated for the near, mid, and long term planning horizons. The irrigated areas include all of the current customers plus the additional new customers for each planning horizon. The addition of new customers in groups provides for stair-step expansion of the Class A system. An irrigation unit demand of 34.12 in/sf was used with an irrigation period of 250 days per year, and a peaking factor of 5.0. The average day demand (ADD) and peak hour demand (PHD) for each planning horizon are shown in Table 6-11.

		Area (acre)	Demand (MG/yr)	Average Day Demand (mgd)	Peak Hour Demand (mgd)
2013	Existing	277	257	1.03	5.14
2023	Near-Term	329	305	1.22	6.09
2033	Mid-Term	542	502	2.01	10.04
2043		663	615	2.46	12.29
2053	Long-Term	799	740	2.96	14.80
2063		1166	1080	4.32	21.61

 Table 6-11

 Projected Class A Demands with Potential Customers

The average day demands can be met by the current Class A system, but the peak hour demands will require expansion of the current facilities. However, to serve the potential future customers identified for the mid-term planning horizon, the Class A system must be expanded and the Crow Creek Recycle Water Facility will require additional influent to meet demands. Figure 6-13 shows the Class A projections using only influent from the CCWRF and the existing storage values. A recommended approach to add additional influent is to pump Class B water from the DCWRF to the Crow Creek Recycle Water Facility, as discussed in 6.5.8. The maximum Class A water available with storage and a reuse line from the DCWRF to the CCWRF is compared to the ADD and PHD in Table 6-12. Further explanation of Class A storage is in Section 6.5.8.





rojected maximum olass A water Available vs. Demands							
		Class A from CC Influent (mgd)	Class A from DC Influent (mgd)	Class A Storage (MG)	Maximum Class A Available (mgd)	Average Day Demand (mgd)	Peak Hour Demand (mgd)
2013	Existing	1.68	0.00	5.66	7.34	1.03	5.14
2023	Near-Term	1.97	0.00	5.66	7.63	1.22	6.09
2033	Mid-Term	2.46	3.40	5.66	11.52	2.01	10.04
2043		2.77	3.49	5.66	11.92	2.46	12.29
2053		3.07	4.05	5.66	12.78	2.96	14.80
2063	Long-Term	3.27	4.45	5.66	13.38	4.32	21.61

 Table 6-12

 Projected Maximum Class A Water Available vs. Demands



Figure 6-13 Available Class A Water vs. Demands





6.5.8 Future Projects

Reuse Line from the DCWRF to the CCWRF

The CCWRF has existing capacity to meet the demands of the Class A customers in the nearterm. However, additional effluent is required to meet the demands of the Class a customers in the mid-term. Additional effluent could be brought to the Crow Creek Recycle Water Facility via a new pipeline from the DCWRF to the CCWRF. The recommended capacity of the reuse pipeline is 5 MGD. AVI developed three alternative routes for the reuse pipeline, which are shown in Figure 6-14. Preliminary sizing suggests a 16-inch pipeline would be required. However, the routes and size should be evaluated again prior to design to determine if the amount of water to be pumped is still available and needed to serve future customers.

All three alternates take the same route leaving the DCWRF. AVI recommends Alternate 1 or 2 over Alternate 3. Alternate 3 takes the best advantage of existing easements, however the easement along South Industrial Road is already congested with existing utilities. Table 6-13 summarizes the alternate lengths and the easements required for each and Table 6-14 breaks down the estimated cost for the reuse line. The cost was estimated using the average length of Alternate 1 and 2 provided by AVI, and the 16-inch estimated unit cost developed by HDR listed in Table 6-16.

	Length (ft)	Easements Required	
		Richardsons Properties LLC	
Alternate #1	29,370	Crafco Inc.	
		Simon Contractors	
		Star Aggregates Inc. C/O JTL Group Inc.	
Alternate #2	27,430	Quinlivan Family Trust	
		Richardsons Properties LLC	
		Crafco Inc.	
		Simon Contractors	
Alternate #3	27,920	De Wore LTD Partnership	

Table 6-13 Reuse Pumpback Line Alternatives





	Reuse Pumpback Line Estimated Costs		
	Description	Estimated Co	
Dino		¢10 c04 000	

Table 6-14

16-inch Pipe	\$10,604,000
Pump Station	\$180,000
Total Estimated Cost	\$10,784,000

In addition to the reuse pipeline, two 2.5 mgd pumps are required initially with a third pump installed in the future to transfer Class B from the DCWRF to the Crow Creek Recycle Water Facility. Two of the pumps would provide 5 mgd firm capacity with the third pump on standby. A pump station is currently undergoing construction at the DCWRF. The pump station will not have room for the additional pumps needed for the reuse line, but will have a knockout wall where the additional pumps can be added in the future.









Final Volume 6 – Non-Potable Water Treatment and Distribution



Recommended Storage

As the Class A system is expanded, timely pumping and storage infrastructure improvements are crucial. The Class A storage requirements are summarized in Table 6-15 by planning horizon.

Table 6-15				
Class A Storage Requirements				

	Year				
	2013	Near-term (2014-2023)	Mid-term (2024-2033)	Long-term (2034 -2063)	
Required Storage Calculations					
Production Rate (MG)	4.0	4.0	4.0	10.0	
Operational Storage (MG)	5.66	5.66	5.66	6.16 ⁽¹⁾	
Required Storage					
System Storage to meet peak hour demands (MG)	5.14	6.09	10.04	21.61	
Storage Surplus/(Deficiency) (MG)	4.52	3.57	(0.38)	(5.45)	

⁽¹⁾ Includes an additional 0.5 MG storage tank project constructed in the mid-term.

As shown in Table 6-15, additional Class A storage is not needed until the mid-term planning horizon. The addition of a 0.5 MG storage tank upstream of the Crow Creek Recycle Water Facility is required to meet the Mid-term peak hour demand. This project should be constructed in conjunction with the CCWRF to DCWRF Reuse Pipeline to provide greater operational flexibility through equalization.

In the far-term, the additional storage required is more significant (5.45 MG). This need can be met by converting one of the lakes located in the northwest edge of the BOPU system that is currently used to store raw water into a Class A storage lake. Infrastructure can be added to the long-term planning horizon to install a pump station and pipeline from one of the lakes, such as Sloans Lake, to provide Class A water to WAFB if they become a customer.





(THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)





6.6 Recommendations

This section presents the recommended projects for the non-potable water systems. The recommendations include:

- Planning Studies
 - o Conservation Plans
 - o Communication and Programing Studies and Projects
 - System Modeling
- Infrastructure projects that support growth of the system

The infrastructure projects are all assigned a capital improvement ID with the following format: Planning Period-System-Project Number.

- Planning Periods include:
 - 2013 In Progress/Completed
 - NT Near Term (2014-2023)
 - o MT Mid-Term (2024-2033)
 - o LT Long Term (2034-2063)
- Systems include:
 - CA Class A System
 - CB Class B System
 - RW Raw Water Irrigation System
- Project Number is a sequential number for each planning period.

6.6.1 Planning Projects

Class A Water Availability, Meter Program, and the DCWRF to the CCWRF Reuse Pipeline Predesign Study

The last study completed to review the water balance between in-basin and out-of-basin water was in 1999. Before investing in large capital improvement projects to increase the availability of Class A water, it is important to understand the amount of water available to treat at the Crow Creek Recycle Water Facility. An update to the 1999 study of non-native vs. native amounts for water rights is recommended in conjunction with improvements to the existing meters including those at the Crow Creek Recycle Water Facility and on the pipeline from the Ware Infiltration Gallery for raw water irrigation system supply accounting. The information can then be





combined with a predesign report for the DCWRF to CCWRF reuse pipeline project that brings Class B effluent from DCWRF to the Crow Creek Recycle Water Facility.

Hydraulic Model and Balance of the Non-Potable Water Systems

Development and calibration of a Class A system hydraulic model to represent the existing system is recommended at the end of the near-term planning period. The benefits of a hydraulic model for this system would be examining service capabilities of the existing infrastructure, evaluating the use of recycled water supply and storage, and assessing the ability to add new customers and the infrastructure needed to serve them. The hydraulic model would help size and route the new infrastructure including distribution pipelines, pump stations, and system storage to most efficiently use available effluent from both WRFs. Prior to developing the hydraulic model, complete recycled water system GIS from as-builts and field investigation would be required, in addition to installing and recording adequate flow and pressure data within the treatment, storage, pumping and distribution facilities to properly calibrate the model.

Conservation Plan

An update to the existing conservation plan is recommended in the mid-term. This will allow for irrigation regulations and conservation measures to be in place to reduce the impact of peak day and peak hour demands on the Class A water system. The reduced impact will give the BOPU more control over existing customers so potential customers may be added to the system with fewer costly infrastructure improvements. The only regulation currently enforced on Class A users is no irrigation permitted between 10am and 5pm.

Plant Organization

Currently, a well defined management system is not in place for the BOPU's non-potable water systems. Operational responsibility and system information are both distributed among many BOPU departments, including water, wastewater, and public relations. HDR recommends that the BOPU consider undertaking a management planning effort to evaluate the staff roles, operational responsibility, data collection needs, and general coordination issues among the three non-potable water systems. This would be particularly valuable for the Class A system, which will continue to increase in size and complexity in the future.

6.6.2 Infrastructure Projects

Transmission mains, pump stations, and storage improvements are recommended for each planning period to support the addition of new customers. Each improvement is identified by a capital improvement code.





Class A Pipelines

Class A pipeline improvements include the installation of any pipeline extensions onto the system that have the ability to serve multiple customers. The following Class A pipeline projects have been identified and are listed by the recommended year of installation.

Near Term (2014-2023)

Improvement Name: Class A Pipeline - Holliday Park Extension

Improvement ID: NT-CA-2

Year: 2014

Description: Main extension from the cemeteries to connect Holliday Park to the non-potable irrigation system.

Scope: 3,940 feet of 4 inch PVC

Purpose: To deliver water to previously unserved customers.

Improvement Name: Class A Pipeline – Mylar and Smalley Park Extension

Improvement ID: NT-CA-3

Year: 2017

Description: Main extension to connect Mylar and Smalley Parks and the Anderson Elementary School to the non-potable irrigation system.

Scope: 15,200 feet of 12 inch PVC

Purpose: To deliver water to previously unserved customers.

Improvement Name: Class A Pipeline –Central and McCormick Park Extension

Improvement ID: NT-CA-5

Year: 2020

Description: Main extension from the North Cheyenne Community Park to Central and McCormick Parks.

Scope: 4,670 feet of 12 inch PVC

Purpose: To deliver water to previously unserved customers.

Mid Term (2024-2033)

Improvement Name: Class A Pipeline – LCCC Extension

Improvement ID: MT-CA-3

Year: 2024-2033









Description: Main extension from the CCWRF to connect the LCCC property to the non-potable irrigation system.

Scope: 13,640 feet of 12 inch PVC

Purpose: To deliver water to previously unserved customers.

Long Term (2034-2063)

Improvement Name: Class A Pipeline – JL Ranch Extension

Improvement ID: LT-CA-4

Year: 2034-2063

Description: Main extension to connect the JL Ranch property to the non-potable irrigation system.

Purpose: To deliver water to previously unserved customers.

Improvement Name: Class A Pipeline – Leads East Business Park and Median Extension

Improvement ID: LT-CA-5

Year: 2034-2063

Description: Main extension to connect the Leads East Business Park, extending on to the median beyond the property. This will connect these two areas to the non-potable irrigation system.

Purpose: To deliver water to previously unserved customers.

Improvement Name: Class A Pipeline – LCSD Extension

Improvement ID: LT-CA-6

Year: 2034-2063

Description: Main extension to connect the Elementary, High School and Junior High properties to the non-potable irrigation system.

Purpose: To deliver water to previously unserved customers.

Improvement Name: Class A Pipeline – Swan Ranch Development Extension

Improvement ID: LT-CA-7

Year: 2034-2063

Description: Main extension to connect the Swan Ranch Development to the non-potable irrigation system.

Purpose: To deliver water to previously unserved customers.





Improvement Name: Class A Pipeline – Saddle Ridge Elementary Extension

Improvement ID: LT-CA-8

Year: 2034-2063

Description: Main extension to connect Saddle Ridge Elementary School and Park to the non-potable irrigation system.

Purpose: To deliver water to previously unserved customers.

Improvement Name: Class A Pipeline – Hess and Keizer Property Extension

Improvement ID: LT-CA-10

Year: 2034-2063

Description: Main extension to connect the Hess and Keizer properties to the non-potable irrigation system.

Purpose: To deliver water to previously unserved customers.

Improvement Name: Class A Pipeline – Airport Golf Course Extension

Improvement ID: LT-CA-11

Year: 2034-2063

Description: Main extension to connect the Airport Golf Course to the non-potable irrigation system.

Purpose: To deliver water to customers previously on the raw water system.

Improvement Name: Class A Pipeline – Lions Park Extension

Improvement ID: LT-CA-12

Year: 2034-2063

Description: Main extension to connect Lions Park to the non-potable irrigation system.

Purpose: To deliver water to customers previously on the raw water system.

Improvement Name: Class A Pipeline – Cheyenne Country Club

Improvement ID: LT-CA-13

Year: 2034-2063

Description: Main extension to connect the Cheyenne Country Club to the non-potable irrigation system.

Purpose: To deliver water to customers previously on the raw water system.





Improvement Name: Class A Pipeline – WAFB Golf Course

Improvement ID: LT-CA-14

Year: 2034-2063

Description: Main extension to connect the WAFB Golf Course to the non-potable irrigation system.

Purpose: To deliver water to customers previously on the raw water system.

6.6.3 Customer Connections

Customer connection projects are limited to small taps or small single customer or development service lines that are not intended to extend the future system. The following customer connection projects have been identified and are listed by the recommended year of installation.

Near Term (2024-2033)

Currently no near term Class A pipeline projects have been identified.

Mid Term (2024-2033)

Improvement Name: Reed Property Connection

Improvement ID: MT-CA-4

Year: 2024-2033

Description: The addition of a new customer tap and service line.

Scope: Connection tap and a service line to the Reed property.

Purpose: To deliver water to previously unserved customers.

Improvement Name: Sweet Grass Development Connection

Improvement ID: MT-CA-5

Year: 2024-2033

Description: The addition of a new tap and a new X inch main.

Scope: A main connection to the Sweet Grass Development.

Purpose: To deliver water to previously unserved customers.

Improvement Name: Cole Property Connection

Improvement ID: MT-CA-6

Year: 2024-2033

Description: The addition of a new customer tap and service line.

Scope: Connection tap and a service line to the Cole property.



Purpose: To deliver water to previously unserved customers.

Long Term (2024-2033)

Currently no long term Class A pipeline projects have been identified.

6.6.4 Pump Stations and Storage Improvements

Near Term (2024-2033)

Improvement Name: Oversized DCWRF Pumping Station

Improvement ID: NT-CB-1

Year: 2014

Description: New bulk dispensing system to meter Class B water used for construction at the DCWRF and upgrades to the facility.

Scope: A dispensing system, larger pumps to fill trucks, upgrades to existing piping, and driveway construction.

Purpose: To provide bulk construction water to contractors at the DCWRF.

Improvement Name: Small Booster Pump Station

Improvement ID: NT-CA-4

Year: 2017

Description: New booster pump station located east of the North Cheyenne Booster Station.

Purpose: Increase pumping capacity to supply Class A water to additional customers.

Improvement Name: High Service Pump Station Improvements

Improvement ID: NT-CA-7

Year: 2023

Description: Pump station upgrades.

Purpose: Increase pumping capacity to supply Class A water to additional customers.

Mid Term (2024-2033)

Improvement Name: The DCWRF bypass and pump station to the CCWRF

Improvement ID: MT-CB-1

Year: 2024-2033

Description: Pipeline and pump station to convey effluent from the DCWRF to the Crow Creek Recycle Water Facility.

Scope: Three 2.5 mgd pumps and a 16-inch pipeline from the DCWRF to the CCWRF.







Purpose: To supply DCWRF effluent to the Class A treatment facility at the CCWRF to increase Class A production.

Improvement Name: Additional 0.5 MG Storage Tank

Improvement ID: MT-CB-2

Year: 2024-2033

Description: An additional 0.5 MG storage tank upstream of the Crow Creek Recycled Water Facility.

Scope: A 0.5 MG above ground storage tank constructed between the pump back and the Crow Creek Recycled Water Facility.

Purpose: To provide storage for peak hour irrigation demands.

Long Term (2034-2063)

Improvement Name: Prairie View Golf Course Pump Station Improvements

Improvement ID: LT-CA-2

Year: 2034-2063

Description: Pump station upgrades.

Purpose: Increase pumping capacity to supply Class A water to additional customers.

Improvement Name: Additional Storage

Improvement ID: LT-CA-3

Year: 2034-2063

Description: Additional Storage for Class A water.

Purpose: Added storage to help meet peak hour demands.

Improvement Name: Sun Valley Pump Station Rehabilitation

Improvement ID: LT-CA-9

Year: 2034-2063

Description: Pump station rehabilitation.

Purpose: Increase pumping capacity to supply Class A water to additional customers beyond the Sun Valley Park and School.

6.6.5 Treatment Improvements

If the BOPU chooses to expand the Class A system to serve all of the potential customers identified in this volume, the Crow Creek Recycle Water Facility will have to be expanded to 10 mgd as shown in Figure 6-15.



Figure 6-15Some equipment has already been installed in the existing facility to make this transition, including a removable rapid mix basin floor, adequate chemical storage and pumping to meet the greater demand, and upstream pipe sizing to meet the requirements of a larger capacity pump installation. The full expansion of the facility including pumping treatment and storage is estimated to cost \$2.8 million dollars (in 2013 dollars). Appendix 6-C contains a break-down of the expansion costs.





(THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)







Final Volume 6 – Non-Potable Water Treatment and Distribution

6.6 Recommendations





6.7 Capital Improvement Plan

6.7 Capital Improvement Plan

6.7.1 Cost Estimating Assumptions

Annual cost estimates were developed for each of the capital improvement projects from 2015 to 2023 and as a total cost for mid-term (2024-2033) projects. Years 2013 and 2014 are currently budgeted years and the cost estimates from the financial projections provided by BOPU were not revised. Cost estimates were not provided for the long-term projects since they are too far in the future to be certain of their implementation or costs.

The cost estimates developed are order of magnitude costs to give an indication of probable cost to implement. All of these estimates are preliminary in nature. Project order and customer's water needs are two of many variables that may impact the cost estimates provided for the near-term and mid-term. An estimate of this type is normally accurate within +50% or -30%. A 30% design contingency was applied to the total construction costs and a 3.5% per year escalation rate was used to account for inflation. The estimates provided should be reevaluated prior to construction of the facilities. Table 6-16 presents the 2013 unit pipe costs used for the estimates which include a fittings allowance, bedding materials, and installation costs. Appendix 6-D contains more detailed cost estimates for the pipeline projects.

Pipe Size (inches)	Pipe Material	2013 Unit Cost (\$/If) ⁽¹⁾
8	PVC	\$120
12	PVC	\$135
16	PVC	\$150
18	PVC	\$175
20	PVC	\$180
21	PVC	\$190
24	PVC/DIP	\$275
30	Steel/DIP	\$325
36	Steel/DIP	\$350
42	Steel/DIP	\$375

Table 6-16Pipe Material and Unit Cost Assumptions

⁽¹⁾ Unit costs include a fittings allowance, bedding materials, and installation.





6.7 Capital Improvement Plan

6.7.2 Capital Improvement Plans by Planning Period

Table 6-17, Table 6-18, and Table 6-19 present the near-term (2014-2023), mid-term (2024-2033), and long-term (2034-2063) capital improvement plans for the non-potable water system, respectively. Prior to these capital improvement projects being implemented, the scope and sizing of each project should be verified via pre-design investigation and planning including field confirmations, hydraulic modeling, cost estimating, and siting and/or alignment studies.

Figure 6-16 presents the location of many of the recommended capital improvement s.





Table 6-17 Near-term (2014-2023) Recommended Capital Improvement Plan

			Projection	Projection	Projection	Projection	Projection	Projection	Projection	Projection	Projection	Projection	Near-term Expenditures
Item #	CIP ID	Project	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022	FY2023	Based on Year of Construction Dollars
1	NT-CB-1 ⁽¹⁾	Oversized DCWRF Pumping Station	\$700,000										\$700,000
2	NT-CA-2	Water Recycle Pipeline - Holliday Park Extension	\$1,000,000										\$1,000,000
3	NT-CA-3	Recycle Water Phase III - Mylar and Smalley Park Extensions				\$4,215,200							\$4,215,200
4	NT-CA-4	Small Booster Pump Station				\$142,200							\$142,200
5	NT-CA-5	Recycle Water Phase IV - Central & McCormick Park Extension							\$1,395,100				\$1,395,100
6	NT-CA-6	Irrigation Water Model and Water Balance								\$98,800			\$98,800
7	NT-CA-7	High Service Pump Station Improvements										\$100,100	\$100,100
		Total Projects by Year	\$1,700,000	\$0	\$0	\$4,357,400	\$0	\$0	\$1,395,100	\$98,800	\$0	\$100,100	\$7,651,400
(I)NT = Near-term CA = Class A Water System CB = Class B Water System						Avera	age Cost per Year (over 10 years)	\$765,100					

CB = Class B Water System

Final Volume 6 – Non-Potable Water Treatment and Distribution

6.7 Capital Improvement Plan





6.7 Capital Improvement Plan

Item #	CIP ID	Project	Cost Estimate (Based on 2028 Dollars)
1	MT-CB-1 ⁽¹⁾	DCWRF bypass and pump station to CCWRF	\$11,795,064
2	MT-CB-2	Additional 0.5 MG Storage Tank	\$1,675,300
3	MT-CA-3	LCCC Extension	\$5,574,000
4	MT-CA-4	Reed Property Connection	\$25,100
5	MT-CA-5	Sweet Grass Development Connection	\$41,900
6	MT-CA-6	Cole Property Connection	\$25,100
7	MT-CA-7	Conservation Plan Update	\$41,900
		Total Projects	\$19,178,364
	-	Average Cost Per Year (over 10 years)	\$1,917,800

Table 6-18 Mid-term (2024-2033) Recommended Capital Improvement Plan

⁽¹⁾MT = Mid-term CA = Class A Water System CB = Class B Water System





6.7 Capital Improvement Plan

Table 6-19 Long-term (2034-2063) Recommended Capital Improvement Plan

Item #	CIP ID	Project
1	LT-CA-1 ⁽¹⁾	Expansion of the Crow Creek Reuse Facility to 10 MGD (Class A)
2	LT-CA-2	Prairie View Golf Course Pump Station Improvements
3	LT-CA-3	Additional Storage
4	LT-CA-4	Water Recycle Pipeline- JL Ranch Extension
5	LT-CA-5	Water Recycle Pipeline- Leads East Business Park and Median Extension
6	LT-CA-6	Water Recycle Pipeline- LCSD Schools Extension
7	LT-CA-7	Water Recycle Pipeline – Swan Ranch Extension
8	LT-CA-8	Water Recycle Pipeline - Saddle Ridge Elementary and Park Extension
9	LT-CA-9	Sun Valley Pump Station Rehabilitation
10	LT-CA-10	Water Recycle Pipeline – Hess and Keizer Property Extension
11	LT-CA-11	Water Recycle Pipeline – Airport Golf Course Extension
12	LT-CA-12	Water Recycle Pipeline – Lions Park Extension
13	LT-CA-13	Water Recycle Pipeline – Cheyenne Country Club
14	LT-CA-14	Water Recycle Pipeline – WAFB Golf Course

⁽¹⁾LT = Long-term CA = Class A Water System




Figure 6-16 Non-Potable Water Distribution Capital Improvement Projects

(available in the inside back cover pocket of this binder)





Appendices

Volume 6 – Non-Potable Water Treatment and Distribution



(THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)





Appendix 6-A Cheyenne Prairie Generation Facility Correspondence





Jason Hartman Director Generation Project Engineering Plant Manager, Cheyenne Prairie Generating Station Power Delivery 6711 HR Ranch Road Cheyenne, Wyoming 82007 P: 303.396.5535

April 10, 2013

Mr. Herman Noe Engineering Manager Board of Public Utilities P. O. Box 1469 Cheyenne, WY 82003

Dear Herman,

With the detailed design of the new Cheyenne Prairie Generating Station well underway, we wanted to update you on the anticipated water use requirements for the facility. This information supersedes the letter provided to you on 10/25/2011 by George Tatar. I have highlighted the revised quantities in **BOLD** text. The planned commercial operation date of the facility is October 1, 2014, and potable water for construction will be needed by July 2013.

Revised Requirements:

	Peak Flow (gpm)	Max Daily (gpd)	Average Daily (gpd)
Potable Water	300	260,000	10,000
Wastewater Effluent	622	650,000	65,000
Wastewater Discharge	150	200,000	20,000

It is anticipated that this facility will be expanded over the years to keep up with the robust growth of the City of Cheyenne. While there is no defined timetable for the additional demand, the information below is intended to provide an indication of what the demand might look like in the future.

Future Requirements:	Peak Flow (gpm)	Max Daily (gpd)	Average Daily (gpd)	
Potable Water	600	600,000	250,000	
Wastewater Effluent	1,200	2,000,000	900,000	
Wastewater Discharge	300	600,000	300,000	

The wastewater discharge from the facility will be a concentrate of the wastewater effluent received from the BOPU. A more detailed projection of the discharge will be provided with our industrial pre-treatment discharge permit application forthcoming in the near future.

Please confirm that the BOPU can provide the current requirements for the Cheyenne Prairie Generating Station facility. As discussed in our meeting on April 1, 2013, we are in the process of drafting a water service agreement to formalize our understanding.

Your response by April 24, 2013 is appreciated.

Sincerely

Jason Hartman Director, Generation Project Engineering Plant Manager, Cheyenne Prairie Generating Station



Appendix 6-B Non-Potable System Flow Balance



Existing System Flow Balance	Input	CCWRF = Crow Creek Water Reclamation Facility
Updated: 8/21/13 Caitlin Kodweis (HDR)	Calculated	DCWRF = Dry Creek Water Reclamation Facility
	Not used in calcs	

2007-2012 July Average Monthly Flow Balance (MGD)

,	5	,	, ,						
				Minimum		Percent loss from			
	(CCWRF Effluent		Effluent		Class B to Class A			
		vs. Influent	CCWRF Total	Release		treatment and	Class A		
CCWRF Influent		Efficiency	Effluent	Required	Class B Available	CCWRF storage	Available	DCWRF Influent	
	3.82	84%	3.21	36%	2.05	18%	1.68	5.9	
	*F	rom Volume 2				*From Volume 2		*Average of July	
rage of July val	ues		*	See Volume 6				values from 2007-	•
m 2007-2012			t	ext				2012	

Class A available:	1.68
Class A storage:	5.66
Max Class A:	7.34

	DCWRI LIIIueni	
	vs. Influent	DCWRF Total
DCWRF Influent	Efficiency	Effluent
5.95	90%	5.36
*Average of July	*From Volume 2	
values from 2007-		
2012		

Existing System Flow Ba Updated: 8/21/13 Caitlin	lance 1 Kodweis (HDR)			Input Calculated Not used in calcs		CCWRF = Crow Cree DCWRF = Dry Creek	ek Water Reclamation Facilit Water Reclamation Facility
2023 Future Average Mo	nthly Flow Balance (I CCWRF Effluent	MGD) - with DC to C	CC Reuse Line Minimum CC			Percent loss from Class B to Class A	
CCW/RE Influent	Vs. Influent	CCWRF Total	Effluent Release	Class P. Available	Reuse Water	treatment and	Class A Available
4 20	84%	3 53	32 0%	2 40	5 68	18%	4 65
DCWRF Influent 5.60	DCWRF Effluent vs. Influent Efficiency 90%	DCWRF Total Effluent 5.04	DCWRF Demand 0.22	DC Class B 4.82	Minimum DC Effluent Release Required 32.0%	DC to CC Reuse 3.28	
*Influent values from Vo *DCWRF Demands proje (Cheyenne Prairie Gener Demand not included, as removed from the DCWF *Effluent release require Volume 6 (native %) *Efficiency and % loss va 2	lume 2 cted in Volume 6 ation Station it was already RF Influent) d, explained in lues from Volume		Class A available: Class A storage: Max Class A:	4.65 5.66 10.31			

2023 Future Average Monthly Flow Balance (MGD) - without DC to CC Reuse Line

						Percent loss from	
	CCWRF Effluent		Minimum CC			Class B to Class A	
	vs. Influent	CCWRF Total	Effluent Release		Reuse Water	treatment and	
CCWRF Influent	Efficiency	Effluent	Required	Class B Available	Facility Inflow	CCWRF storage	Class A Available
4.20	84%	3.53	32.0%	2.40	2.40	18%	1.97

			Minimum DC				
vs. Influent DCWRF Total Effluent Release							
DCWRF Influent	Efficiency	Effluent	DCWRF Demand	DC Class B	Required	DC to CC Reuse	
5.60	90%	5.04	0.22	4.82	32.0%	0.00	

*Influent values from Volume 2 *DCWRF Demands projected in Volume 6 (Cheyenne Prairie Generation Station Demand not included, as it was already removed from the DCWRF Influent) *Effluent release required, explained in Volume 6 (native %) *Efficiency and % loss values from Volume

Class A available:	1.97
Class A storage:	5.66
Max Class A:	7.63

2

Input Calculated Not used in calcs

2033 Future Average Monthly Flow Balance (MGD) - with DC to CC Reuse Line

						Percent loss from	
						Class B to Class A	
	CCWRF Effluent vs.	CCWRF Total	Minimum Effluent		Reuse Water	treatment and	
CCWRF Influent	Influent Efficiency	Effluent	Release Required	Class B Available	Facility Inflow	CCWRF storage	Class A Available
4.90) 84%	4.12	27.0%	3.00	7.15	18%	5.86

			Minimum DC			
vs. Influent DCWRF Total Effluent Release						
DCWRF Influent	Efficiency	Effluent	DCWRF Demand	DC Class B	Required	DC to CC Reuse
6.60	90%	5.94	0.26	5.68	27.0%	4.15

*Influent values from Volume 2 *DCWRF Demands projected in Volume 6 (Cheyenne Prairie Generation Station Demand not included, as it was already removed from the DCWRF Influent) *Effluent release required, explained in Volume 6 (native %)

Class A available:	5.86
Class A storage:	5.66
Max Class A:	11.52



CCWRF = Crow Creek Water Reclamation Facility DCWRF = Dry Creek Water Reclamation Facility

2043 Future Average Monthly Flow Balance (MGD) - with DC to CC Reuse Line

						Percent loss from	
						Class B to Class A	
	CCWRF Effluent vs.	CCWRF Total	Minimum Effluent		Reuse Water	treatment and	
CCWRF Influent	Influent Efficiency	Effluent	Release Required	Class B Available	Facility Inflow	CCWRF storage	Class A Available
5.5) 84%	4.62	27.0%	3.37	7.63	18%	6.26

	DCWRF Effluent				Minimum DC	
	vs. Influent	DCWRF Total			Effluent Release	
DCWRF Influent	Efficiency	Effluent	DCWRF Demand	DC Class B	Required	DC to CC Reuse
6.80	90%	6.12	0.29	5.83	27.0%	4.26

*Influent values from Volume 2
*DCWRF Demands projected in Volume 6
(Cheyenne Prairie Generation Station
Demand not included, as it was already
removed from the DCWRF Influent)
*Effluent release required, explained in
Volume 6 (native %)

Class A available:	6.26
Class A storage:	5.66
Max Class A:	11.92

Existing System Flow Balance Updated: 8/21/13 Caitlin Kodweis (HDR)

Input Calculated Not used in calcs

2053 Future Average Monthly Flow Balance (MGD) - with DC to CC Reuse Line



					Percent loss from	
					Class B to Class A	
CCWRF Effluent vs.	CCWRF Total	Minimum Effluent		Reuse Water	treatment and	
CCWRF Influent Influent Efficiency	Effluent	Release Required	Class B Available	Facility Inflow	CCWRF storage	Class A Available
6.10 84%	5.12	27.0%	3.74	8.68	18%	7.12

	DCWRF Effluent				Minimum DC	
	vs. Influent	DCWRF Total			Effluent Release	
DCWRF Influent	Efficiency	Effluent	DCWRF Demand	DC Class B	Required	DC to CC Reuse
7.90	90%	7.11	0.34	6.77	27.0%	4.94

*Influent values from Volume 2 *DCWRF Demands projected in Volume 6 (Cheyenne Prairie Generation Station Demand not included, as it was already removed from the DCWRF Influent) *Effluent release required, explained in Volume 6 (native %)

Class A available:	7.12
Class A storage:	5.66
Max Class A:	12.78



CCWRF = Crow Creek Water Reclamation Facility DCWRF = Dry Creek Water Reclamation Facility

2063 Future Average Monthly Flow Balance (MGD) - with DC to CC Reuse Line

						Percent loss from	
						Class B to Class A	
	CCWRF Effluent vs.	CCWRF Total	Minimum Effluent		Reuse Water	treatment and	
CCWRF Influent	Influent Efficiency	Effluent	Release Required	Class B Available	Facility Inflow	CCWRF storage	Class A Available
6.50) 84%	5.46	27.0%	3.99	9.42	18%	7.72

	DCWRF Effluent				Minimum DC	
	vs. Influent	DCWRF Total			Effluent Release	
DCWRF Influent	Efficiency	Effluent	DCWRF Demand	DC Class B	Required	DC to CC Reuse
8.70	90%	7.83	0.39	7.44	27.0%	5.43

*Influent values from Volume 2
*DCWRF Demands projected in Volume 6
(Cheyenne Prairie Generation Station
Demand not included, as it was already
removed from the DCWRF Influent)
*Effluent release required, explained in
Volume 6 (native %)

Class A available:	7.72
Class A storage:	5.66
Max Class A:	13.38



Appendix 6-C Existing Facility Information



CHEYENNE REUSE WATER PIPELINE AND PUMPING FACILITIES PROJECT

OPERATION & SERVICE MANUAL INFORMATION

OWNER: BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES CITY OF CHEYENNE, WY

ENGINEER: STATES WEST WATER RESOURCES CORP. CHEYENNE, WY

> CONTRACTOR: MECHANICAL SYSTEMS, INC. CHEYENNE, WY

MANUFACTURER: PUMPS: CORNELL PUMP COMPANY APCO PRIMING SYSTEM: VALVE AND PRIMER CORP.

MANUFACTURERS REPRESENTATIVE:





)

Maning Address: P.O. Box 6334 Portland, OR 97228-6334 USA Snipping Address: Sunrise Corridor Business Center 16261 S.E. 130th Ave. Portland, OR 97015

OPERATION & SERVICE MANUAL

Cheyenne Reuse Irrigation Pumps Cheyenne, WY

Representative: Waterworks Industries, Inc. P.O. Box 3620 Casper, WY 82602 307-265-9566

Supplier: Cornell Pump Company

Materials of Construction 5RB-F16

Parts	Material Code
Volute Casing	CI
Wear Rings	BA
Impeller	BZ
Impeller Washer	ST
Impeller Key	KS
Impeller Screw	SD
Back Plate	CI
Shaft	SP
Shaft Sleeve	BA
Balance Line	SB
Mechanical Seal	Type 1, Carbon vs. Ceramic
Baseplate	Fabricated Steel
Frame	CI

MATERIAL CODE

PK Graphited Acrylic

SA Steel AISI 1045

> SC Cast Steel AISI 1030, ASTM A216

SD Stainless Steel AISI 302, 303, 304

SE Stainless Steel AISI 316, ASTM A296-CF8M

SG Stainless Steel AISI 420 H.T. to 375-500 BHN (SG double wear rings have Min. 50 BHN difference)

KS Keystock AISI C1018 SB Annealed Steel Tubing

SM SAE Grade 5

SP Stress Proof Equal MOD. SAE 1144

SS Stainless Steel AISI 416

ST Stainless Steel AISI 416 H.T. to 300-325 BHN

TE Glass-filled Teflon

ZK Zamak-3 or equivalent

BA Bronze (SAE 660) ASTM B144-3B C93200

BZ Bronze (Sae 40) ASTM B584 C83600

> CA Ductile Iron Nodular NI-QT H.T. to 400-500 BHN

CI Cast Iron ASTM A48, Class 30

CP Ductile Iron ASTM A536-72 GR. 65-45-12 NOD-1B

CJ Cast Iron ASTM A48, Class 30 With 2%-3% Nickel Added

PB Acrylic Packing



NEW PAGE





Cornell Pump Company Phone: (503) 653-0330 Fax: (503) 653-0338 Portland, OR 97228-6334 USA

MOTOR INFORMATION

MOTOR SUBMITTAL INFORMATION

CHEYENNE PROJECT

HSP-1, HSP-2, HSP-3 TAG: HORIZONTAL BALDOR CONFIG: MOTOR MFG: **T-SHAFT** 1800 75 RPM: HORSEPOWER: FRAME: 365T ENCLOSURE: ODP SPEED: 1800 RPM TYPE: SUPER-E INVERTER RATED 3/60 PH/HZ: VOLTAGE: 230/460V 100,000 + HOURS L-10 LIFE: MOTOR WT: 808 LBS. CLASS F INVERTER GRADE, CLASS B TEMP RISE @ 1.0SF INSULATION: SERVICE FACTOR: 1.15 ON SINE WAVE, 1.0 ON INVERTER OPERATION



AC Induction Motor Performance Data

Record # 21222 Typical performance - not guaranteed values

Winding: 44WGW204

)

(

Туре: 4468М

Enclosure: OPSB

Nameplate Data				General Characteristics at 460 V, 60 Hz: High Volt Connection		
Rated Output (HP)	75		Fuil Load Torque	221 LB-FT		
Volts	230/460			Start Configuration	DOL	
Full Load Amps	172/86			Break Down Torque	765 LB-FT	
R.P.M.	1780			Pull-Up Torque	272 LB-FT	
Hz	60	Phase 3		Locked-rotor Torque	381 LB-FT	
NEMA Design Code	А	A KVA Code H		Starting Current	623 Amps	
Service Factor	1.15		No-load Current	29.5 Amps		
NEMA Nom. Eff.	95.4	P.F.	86	Line-line Res. @ 25°C.	0.0614 Ohms	
Rating - Duty	40C AMB CONT		Temp. Rise @ Rated Load	32°C		
S.F. Amps			Temp. Rise @ S.F. Load	39°C		

Load Characteristics at 460 Volts, 60 Hz

% of Rated Load	25	50	75	100	125	150	S.F.
Power Factor	53	75	83	86	87	87	87
Efficiency	92.8	95.3	95.8	95.6	95.2	94.7	95.4
Speed	1793	1790	1786	1782	1777	1772	1779
Line Amperes	35.6	49.6	66.5	85.8	106	128	97.9

Baldor Electric Company Fort Smith, Arkansas

http://eng3.baldor.com/apps/acperf/ACPerfBus.asp?Winding=44WGW204&Record=21222... 5/1/2006



Total Storage in Reuse System

	Length	Dia./Width	Depth	Storage
	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(MG)
Prairie View Pond			14.5	3.02
Prairie View PS Wetwell	62	11	14.5	0.07
Trickling Filter #1		165	9	1.44
Trickling Filter #2		165	9	1.44
		Tot	al Storage =	5.97

Usage by End Users

	Avg. July	Hist Max.
Reporting	(MG)	(MG)
Clint Bassett (3/3/2008)	1.47	2.84
Parks and Recreation		3.34
Original Design	1.74	2.38

** Does not include North Park, Holliday Park, Miller School, or United Nations









9

5

Ŋ

N

			LAH	
\	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		/	
	PRAIRIE MEW REUSE WATER STORAGE RESERVOIR			
24" & 18" TRANSMISSION S	YSTEM			P5
-			·	
anus vaanaas 				
	SURGE/PRESSU RELIEF VALVE	IRE		
	WWTP EFFLUENT WET WELL			
		•		
	· · · · ·			
		•		
CHE	EYENNE BOARD OF PU	IBLIC UTILII	TIES	
- WAT	ER REUSE PIP	ELINE A		JECT 457.001
	W CREEK TRANSFER	PUMP STAT	ION SH	I T






NEW PAGE

5RB18



Appendices

Appendix 6-D Cost Estimates



Cheyenne Board of Public Utilities Capital Cost Opinion

2013 Cheyenne Water and Wastewater Master Plans Volume 6 - Non-Potable Water Treatment and Distribution

Class A Near-term Projects

 REVISED
 9/13/13 Ca

 REVISED
 9/30/13 T.

9/13/13 Caitlin Kodweis (HDR) 9/30/13 T. Quigley

Item Description	Potential Class A Lines	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Mylar and Smalley Park - Phase III					
Water Main					
12-inch PVC Pipe including installation	#1 and #2	15,200	LF	135.00	\$2,052,000
SUBTOTAL					\$2,052,000
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS					
Mobilization, Bonds and Insurance (15% of subtotal)			LS		\$307,800
Contractor Overhead & Profit (12% of subtotal)			LS		\$246,200
Construction Subtotal			LS		\$2,606,000
Year of Construction		2017	YR		
Escalation Rate		3.5%	%		
Escalation Costs (year of construction - 2013)			LS		\$2,990,400
Administrative and Easement Costs (5% of construction subtotal)			LS		\$130,300
Engineering (12% of construction subtotal)			LS		\$312,700
Design Contigency (30% of construction subtotal)			LS		\$781,800
	Total Myla	r and Smalley Park	- Phase III		\$4,215,200

Item Description	Potential Class A Lines	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Central HS & McCormick JHS - Phase IV					
Water Main					
12-inch PVC Pipe including installation	Raw #1	4,670	LF	135.00	\$630,450
SUBT	OTAL				\$630,450
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS					
Mobilization, Bonds and Insurance (15% of subtotal)			LS		\$94,600

Central & McCormick New Infrastructure Line				
Length	19870 ft			
Flow	865 gpm			
Diameter 12 in.				
Customers Anderson Elem. Cole Property Mylar & Smalley Central & McCormick				
New boost	er pump station			

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS			
Mobilization, Bonds and Insurance (15% of subtotal)		LS	\$94,600
Contractor Overhead & Profit (12% of subtotal)		LS	\$75,700
Construction Subtotal		LS	\$800,800
Year of Construction	2020	YR	
Escalation Rate	3.5%	%	
Escalation Costs (year of construction - 2013)		LS	\$1,018,800
Administrative and Easement Costs (5% of construction subtotal)		LS	\$40,000
Engineering (12% of construction subtotal)		LS	\$96,100
Design Contigency (30% of construction subtotal)		LS	\$240,200
	Total Central HS & McCormick JHS	 Phase IV 	\$1,395,100

Item Description	Potential Class A Lines	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Holliday Park					
Water Main					
8-inch PVC Pipe including installation	#4	3,940	LF	120.00	\$472,800
SUBTOTAI	-				\$472,800
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS					
Mobilization, Bonds and Insurance (15% of subtotal)			LS		\$70,900
Contractor Overhead & Profit (12% of subtotal)			LS		\$56,700
Construction Subtotal			LS		\$600,400
Year of Construction		2015	YR		
Escalation Rate		3.5%	%		
Escalation Costs (year of construction - 2013)			LS		\$643,200
Administrative and Easement Costs (5% of construction subtotal)			LS		\$30,000
Engineering (12% of construction subtotal)			LS		\$72,000
Design Contigency (30% of construction subtotal)			LS		\$180,100
		Total Ho	lliday Park		\$925,300

Holliday Park New Infrastructure				
Line				
Length	3940 ft			
Flow	375 gpm			
Diameter	8 in			

Cheyenne Board of Public Utilities Capital Cost Opinion

2013 Cheyenne Water and Wastewater Master Plans Volume 6 - Non-Potable Water Treatment and Distribution

REVISED

9/13/13 Caitlin Kodweis (HDR)

Class A Mid-term Projects

Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
DCWRF to CCWRF Reuse Line - Alternate #1				
Water Main				
16-inch PVC Pipe including installation	29,370	LF	150.00	\$4,405,500
SUBTOTAL				\$4,405,500

Average of alternates: \$11,606,500

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS			
Mobilization, Bonds and Insurance (15% of subtotal)		LS	\$660,800
Contractor Overhead & Profit (12% of subtotal)		LS	\$528,700
Construction Subtotal		LS	\$5,595,000
Year of Construction	2028	YR	
Escalation Rate	3.5%	%	
Escalation Costs (year of construction - 2013)		LS	\$9,373,600
Administrative and Easement Costs (5% of construction subtotal)		LS	\$279,800
Engineering (12% of construction subtotal)		LS	\$671,400
Design Contigency (30% of construction subtotal)		LS	\$1,678,500
Total DCWRF to CCV	VRF Reuse Line - Al	ternate #1	\$12,003,000

Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
DCWRF to CCWRF Reuse Line - Alternate #2				
Water Main				
16-inch PVC Pipe including installation	27,430	LF	150.00	\$4,114,500
SUBTOTAL				\$4,114,500
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS				
Mobilization, Bonds and Insurance (15% of subtotal)		LS		\$617,200
Contractor Overhead & Profit (12% of subtotal)		LS		\$493,700
Construction Subtotal		LS		\$5,225,400
Year of Construction	2028	YR		
Escalation Rate	3.5%	%		
Escalation Costs (year of construction - 2013)		LS		\$8,754,400
Administrative and Easement Costs (5% of construction subtotal)		LS		\$261,300
Engineering (12% of construction subtotal)		LS		\$627,000
Design Contigency (30% of construction subtotal)		LS		\$1,567,600
Total DCWRF to CCW	/RF Reuse Line - Al	ternate #2		\$11,210,000

Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Laramie County Community College				
Water Main				
16-inch PVC Pipe including installation	13,640	LF	150.00	\$2,046,000
SUBTOTAL				\$2,046,000
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS				
Mobilization, Bonds and Insurance (15% of subtotal)		LS		\$306,900
Contractor Overhead & Profit (12% of subtotal)		LS		\$245,500
Construction Subtotal		LS		\$2,598,400
Year of Construction	2028	YR		
Escalation Rate	3.5%	%		
Escalation Costs (year of construction - 2013)		LS		\$4,353,200
Administrative and Easement Costs (5% of construction subtotal)		LS		\$129,900
Engineering (12% of construction subtotal)		LS		\$311,800
Design Contigency (30% of construction subtotal)		LS		\$779,500
Total Laramie County C	Community College	- Phase V		\$5,574,000

Central & McCormick New Infrastructure Line				
Length	13640 ft			
Flow	2162 gpm			
Diameter 14 in				
Customers	LCCC Sweetgrass Read Property			
New high service pump 2200gpm @ 150 psi				

Cheyenne Board of Public Utilities

Capital Cost Opinion

CROW CREEK

6

9/27/13

Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost per MGD	Total Cost		
REUSE WATER TREATMENT FACILITY EXPANSION						
YARD PIPING					Filter Bldg Expansion	
Misc. Buried Piping (gas, pw, npw)	1	LS	25000.00	\$25,000		
Connections to Exist	1	EA	25000.00	\$25,000		
EARTHWORK	1005	01/	10.44	#10.001		
Soll Excavation Registrill	770	CY	10.44	\$12,891		
Structural Backfill	90	CY	19.03	\$1,047	\$8 150 79	
CONCRETE	30	01	19.57	φ1,701	\$6,150.75	
Fill Conc	25	CY	260.95	\$6.524		
Slabs	120	CY	521.91	\$62.629		
Suspended Slabs	33.3	CY	1043.82	\$34,794		
Walls	136.7	CY	652.39	\$89,160		
Sidewalks & Stoops	320	SF	3.91	\$1,253		
MASONRY						
Exterior Walls	2002	SF	33.92	\$67,916		
Face Block Only	441.7	SF	13.05	\$5,763	\$1,901.71	
METALS		. –				
Handrail	100	LF	45.67	\$4,567		
Grating	650	SF	32.62	\$21,203		
ROOT JOISTS & DECK	1230	SF	45.67	\$56,170		
Stairs Mine Motole	1167	LS	7000.00	\$42,500	¢42.00	
	1107	LD	4.24	\$4,947	\$42,698	
Fully Adheared EPDM & Insulation	410	SE	13.05	\$5.350		
Parapet Coning	88.3	LE	10.03	\$922		
Boof Curbs	2.3	FA	652.39	\$1.522		
Insulation	1077.3	SF	2.61	\$2.811	\$3,500	
FINISHES		_				
Joists and Deck	205	SF	3.91	\$802		
Paint Epoxy (CMU)	667	SF	4.57	\$3,048		
Filter Box Coating	300	SF	9.79	\$2,937		
EQUIPMENT						
Continuous Backwash Filtration Equipment	3	EA	235000	\$705,000	\$705,000	
Filter Installation		LS		\$105,750		
INSTRUMENTATION		1.0		* 05 000		
Sensors, SCADA		LS		\$25,000	\$25,000	
Figured DIP plant	6000	Lb	4.00	\$24,000		
Chemical Feed Pining & Valves	0000	LD	4.00	\$10,000		
Pipe Supports		LS		\$8.000		
VALVES				+=,===		
18 inch Butterfly Valves	6	EA	8500.00	\$51,000		
8 inch PV	3	EA	2400.00	\$7,200		
4 inch PV	6	EA	1100.00	\$6,600		
Drain Ball Valves	6	EA	400.00	\$2,400		
Air Relief Valves	3	EA	1000.00	\$3,000		
Miscellaneous		LS		\$3,500	\$73,700	
MECHANICAL		1.0		405.000		
Heating Ventilation and Air Conditioning		LS	\$25,000.00	\$25,000		
ELECTRICAL		1.0	\$0.00	\$0		
Lighting, switches, misc		10	\$15,000.00 \$15,000.00	\$15,000 \$15,000	\$0.000	6161 250
MCC service feeder breakers		19	\$50,000.00	\$50,000	\$9,900	\$101,25b
			ψ30,000.00	\$1 546 000	\$869 850	
SOBIOTAL			1	ψ1,040,000	φ000,000	
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS						
Mobilization, Bonds and Insurance (15% of total)		LS		\$231,900		
Contaractor Overhead & Proffit (12% of total)		LS		\$185,500		
CONTINGENCY (35% of total)		LS		\$687,200		
TOTAL REUSE WATER TREAT	MENT FACILITY	Y EXPANSIO	N		\$2,651,000	